

MB/ NC ¹	Line numb er	Clause/ Subclause	¶	Type	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the secretariat
CH 1	all			ge	Please coordinate with the networking study group to make sure that the pathname grammar works together with the URI grammar (pathnames should be a subset of URIs).		Accepted. Interoperability verified with Gyn Mathews.
FI 5				ge/ed	The namespace for the filesystem should be <code>std::experimental</code> , not <code>std::tbd</code>	Change the namespace to <code>experimental</code> everywhere <code>tbd</code> occurs.	1 / Accepted.
US 1		all		ed	Many cross-references contain the symbolic section name rather than a link to that section. Examples include occurrences in 1 ([<code>fs.norm.ref</code>]), 2.1 ([<code>fs.def.race</code>]), 2.2 ([<code>fs.def.osdep</code>]), etc.	Replace cross-references using symbolic section names with actual links to the referenced section.	Ed / Accepted.
US 2		all		ed	References to the C++ Standard are given in various forms. For example, the first paragraph of 13 [<code>class.directory_iterator</code>] says, " <code>directory_iterator</code> satisfies the requirements of an input iterator ([<code>input.iterators</code>])," with no indication that the referenced section is part of the C++ Standard., while the <i>effects</i> clause of <code>operator++</code> in 13.1 [<code>directory_iterator.members</code>] uses the full form "the C++ Standard, 24.1.1 Input iterators [<code>input.iterators</code>]." Sometimes the full word "Standard" is used and sometimes "Std".	Use a canonical form for all references to the C++ Standard.	Ed / Accepted.
US 3		all		ed	The pattern of cross-references from synopses to the description of an entity is inconsistent. For example, in the synopsis of header <code><filesystem></code> in 6 [<code>fs.filesystem.synopsis</code>], the link for <code>file_type</code> is found on the symbolic section name in a comment, while for <code>file_status</code> it is on the class name. Two of the <code>copy</code> overloads have links, while two do not. In the synopsis for class <code>path</code> in 8 [<code>class.path</code>], some of the member functions have links from the function name, while others have links from preceding comment text	Use a consistent pattern of cross-references. A link on each entity name would probably be most useful.	Ed / Accepted with modifications.
US 4		all		ed	Paragraphs are not numbered.	Add paragraph numbers.	Ed / Accepted.
US 5		various		te	Namespace <code>tbd</code> is used but not described. It is not clear whether <code>tbd</code> is intended to be replaced by a different name at some point in the future (i.e., is an abbreviation for "To Be Determined") or if it is intended to be an actual name.	Add a note clarifying the intent of using that name.	1 / Accepted.
US 6		2.1		ed	"#error" is in body font.	Set "#error" in program font.	Ed / Accepted. That text, however, is no longer in the document.
FI 1		2.1 POSIX conforman ce		te	It is unfortunate that error reporting for inability to provide reasonable behaviour is completely implementation-defined. This hurts portability in the sense that programmers have no idea how	Change "If an implementation cannot provide any reasonable behavior, the implementation shall report an error in an implementation-defined manner." to "If an	2 / Accepted with modifications.

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

MB/ NC ¹	Line number	Clause/ Subclause	¶	Type	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the secretariat
		[fs.conform.9945]			errors will be reported and cannot anticipate anything.	implementation cannot provide any reasonable behavior, the code using the facilities for which reasonable behaviour cannot be provided shall be ill-formed." and strike the note.	
US 7		3		ed	"C++" is split across lines.	Eliminate the line break between the "+"s.	Ed. Applied code nowrap CSS
GB 2	Page 2	4		Ge	'extension' should be added to the terms and conditions as the term 'extension' is not defined in 4 [fs.definitions] but is used in the Remarks for extension() in 8.4.9	The term 'extension' should be added to 4 [fs.definitions] and the term then used in the effects for replace_extension (8.4.5)	Ed / Reference added
CH 2		4.7 [fs.def.filename]		te	Filename lengths are also implementation dependent. This is not the same as FILENAME_MAX that specifies the maximum length of pathnames.	Add a bullet: "Length of filenames."	3 / Accepted with modifications.
US 8		4.14		ed	"dot-dot" is referred to as a "pathname." Everywhere else it is referred to as a "filename."	Change "pathname" to "filename".	ED / Accepted.
CH 3		4.14 [fs.def.parent]		te	The concept of a parent directory for dot or dotdot exists, but the definition doesn't apply.	Remove the paragraph "This concept does not apply to dot and dot-dot." Add a definition for dot and dot-dot.	4 / Rejected; no consensus for change.
CH 4		4.14 [fs.def.parent]		te	8.1 [path.generic] says: "The filename dot-dot is treated as a reference to the parent directory." So it must be specified what "/" and "/.." refer to.	Add a statement what the parent directory of the root directory is.	5 / Accepted with modifications.
CH 5		4.15 [fs.def.path]		te	Path depth is implementation dependent.	Add a paragraph: "The maximum length of the sequence (i.e. the maximum depth) is implementation dependent."	6 / Accepted with modifications.
US 9		4.18		ed	"." and ".." are referred to as "paths". The distinction between "path" and "pathname" is not completely clear, but those would appear to fit the definition of "pathname" better than "path," i.e., "A character string that represents the name of a path," since "." and ".." are character strings. (It's also not clear when "." and ".." are used and when "dot" and "dot-dot" are used.)	Change "path" to "pathname" in the reference to "." and "..".	Ed / Accepted.
US 10		4.19		ed	The definition of a symbolic link is given as, "A link with the property that when the file is encountered..." This assumes that links are files, which is not how they are described in 4.9 [fs.def.link]: "A directory entry object that associates a filename with a file."	Assuming that the intent is that symbolic links are files and hard links are not, the definition should be reworded to something like, "A link that is a file with the property..."	Ed / Accepted with modifications.
GB 3	Page 5	6	P1	Ge	The namespace 'tbd' needs clarification	The synposes all belong in namespace std::tbd::filesystem with no indication of how the	1 / Accepted.

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

MB/ NC ¹	Line number	Clause/ Subclause	¶	Type	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the secretariat
						eventual namespace to replace 'tbd' will be arrived at.	
GB 4	Page 6	6	syn ops is	Te	Use of the term a 'non-privileged' process The comment for available in the <code>struct space_info</code> refers to: free space available to a non-privileged process This seems quite specific to a POSIX implementation (on Windows, for instance, the equivalent data would be user-specific but not directly related to privilege)	Remove the comment and add a note to 15.32 [fs.op.space]: [Note: the precise meaning of available space is implementation dependent. --end note]	7 / Accepted with modifications.
CH 6		6 [fs.filesyst em.synops is]		te	The name for the namespace is currently <i>tbd</i> .	Specify an actual name for the namespace.	1 / Accepted.
CH 7		6 [fs.filesyst em.synops is]		te	Must the <code>file_time_type</code> hold times before 1960 and after 2050?	Specify the requirements to unspecified-trivial-clock for <code>file_time_type</code> .	8 / Accepted with modifications.
FI 2		6 Header <filesystem> synopsis [fs.filesyst em.synops is]		te	It is unclear why the range-for support functions (<code>begin()/end()</code>) for <code>directory_iterator</code> and <code>recursive_directory_iterator</code> return different types for <code>begin()</code> and <code>end()</code> , namely that <code>begin()</code> returns a reference to <code>const</code> and <code>end()</code> returns a value.		9 / Accepted with modifications.
FI 4		6 Header <filesystem> synopsis [fs.filesyst em.synops is]		te	It is unclear why <code>copy</code> , <code>copy_file</code> and <code>copy_symlink</code> have different return types, and why the attribute-version of <code>create_directory</code> has a different return type than the <code>create_directory</code> that takes no attributes. The status/error reporting in these functions seems inconsistent.	Make the status/error reporting consistent or add a note explaining why it's different.	10 / Rejected. The document is correct as written, except for clash between synopses and specification fixed editorially.
FI 3		6 Header <filesystem> synopsis [fs.filesyst em.synops is], 8 Class path [class.path]		ed	The specification uses <code>Inputlterator begin</code> , <code>Inputlterator end</code> in various places for the names of iterator parameters. This makes searching for the functions <code>begin()/end()</code> hard and is inconsistent with the style used in the C++ standard.	Change "Inputlterator begin, Inputlterator end" to "Inputlterator first, Inputlterator last".	Ed / Accepted.

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

MB/ NC ¹	Line numb er	Clause/ Subclause	¶	Type	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the secretariat
GB 1		6 & 15		Te	<p>There is no relative() operation, to complement both absolute() and canonical()</p> <p>The TS introduces relative paths.</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • They are defined in section 4.18 relative path [fs.def.relative-path] • A decomposition method relative_path() is described in section 8.4.9 path decomposition [path.decompose] • Two query methods to determine if a path either has_relative_path() or is_relative() described in 8.4.10 path query [path.query] <p>However there is no way to create a relative path as a path relative to another. Methods are provided to create absolute and canonical paths.</p> <p>In section 15.1 Absolute [fs.op.absolute]: path absolute(const path& p, const path& base=current_path()); and in section 15.2 Canonical [fs.op.canonical] path canonical(const path& p, const path& base = current_path()); path canonical(const path& p, error_code& ec); path canonical(const path& p, const path& base, error_code& ec); By providing a operations to achieve absolute and canonical paths there is no impediment to providing a similar operation relative() that attempts to return a new path relative to some base path. For example: path relative(const path& p, const path& to = current_path()); path relative(const path& p, error_code& ec); path relative(const path& p, const path& to, error_code& ec); This would return a path, if possible, that is relative to to. The implementation can make use of absolute() and canonical() to determine the relative path, if it exists.</p> <p>The File System TS is based on the boost::filesystem library and it too suffers from this anomaly. There are open tickets for this in Boost Trac:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • #5897 Make path relative function • #1976 Inverse function for complete <p>and it is the subject of several posts on StackOverflow for example:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • http://stackoverflow.com/questions/10167382/boostfilesystem-get-relative-path • http://stackoverflow.com/questions/5772992/get-relative-path-from-two-absolute-paths 	<p>Modify section: 6 Header <filesystem> synopsis [fs.filesystem.synopsis] by adding the operational functions after canonical:</p> <pre>path relative(const path& p, const path& to = current_path()); path relative(const path& p, error_code& ec); path relative(const path& p, const path& to, error_code& ec);</pre> <p>Insert the section:</p> <p>15.3 Relative [fs.op.relative]</p> <pre>path relative(const path& p, const path& to = current_path()); path relative(const path& p, error_code& ec); path relative(const path& p, const path& to, error_code& ec);</pre> <p><i>Overview:</i> Return a relative path of p to the current directory or from an optional to path. <i>Returns:</i> A relative path such that canonical(to)/relative(p,to) == canonical(p), otherwise path(). If canonical(to) == canonical(p) the path path(".") is returned. For the overload without a to argument, to is current_path(). Signatures with argument ec return path() if an error occurs. <i>Throws:</i> As specified in Error reporting. <i>Remarks:</i> !exists(p) or !exists(to) or !is_directory(to) is an error.</p> <p>and bump all following sections up by 0.1. Update the contents and any cross-references accordingly.</p> <p>Question: Should <i>Returns</i> be specified in terms of equivalence? For example: equivalent(canonical(to)/relative(p,to), canonical(p)) Question: Should canonical(to) == canonical(p) return path(".") or path()? Why?</p>	<p>11 / Rejected. No consensus for change at this time. May revisit in the future.</p>

1 MB = Member body / NC = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 Type of comment: ge = general te = technical ed = editorial

MB/ NC ¹	Line numb er	Clause/ Subclause	¶	Type	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the secretariat
					Other languages typically provide a similar function. For example python provides: os.path.relpath(path[, start]) Return a relative filepath to path either from the current directory or from an optional start directory. This is a path computation: the filesystem is not accessed to confirm the existence or nature of path or start. start defaults to os.curdir.	Question: Should to be spelt start?	
CH 8		6 [fs.filesystem.synopsis], 15.14 [fs.op.file_size]		te	uint_max_t is specified to hold at least 64 bit. This is not enough for sizes beyond 4 exabytes.	Specify whether an implementation must provide a uint_max_t that can hold the maximum possible space and file size values.	12 / Rejected. No consensus for change at this time. May revisit in the future.
GB 5	Page 10	7		Ed	Duplicate word: "appropriate appropriate" appears in the last bullet in 7 [fs.err.report]	Delete the duplicate	Ed / Accepted.
US 11		7		ed	The next-to-last sentence has a duplicated word: "...argument is set as appropriate appropriate for the specific error."	Delete one instance of "appropriate."	Ed / Accepted.
CH 9		7 [fs.err.report], all		te	The specification of the actual error conditions for the functions that specify Throws: As specified in Error reporting. is missing.	Add those specifications.	13 / Rejected. No consensus for change. Instead issue, 55 , provided clarifying wording for 7.
FI 6		8 Class path [class.path]		ed	It doesn't seem to be necessary to explicitly =default destructors.	Remove superfluous declarations.	Ed / Accepted.
GB 6	Page 14	8.1		Ed	Spurious whitespace in the grammar for directory-separator: "slash directory-separator" is indented more than the other three items.	Align the four items	Ed / Accepted.
US 12		8.1		ed	The second production in the grammar for <i>directory-separator</i> is indented too far.	Align " <i>slash directory-separator</i> " with the other productions.	Ed / Accepted.
US 13		8.3	final bullet	ed	The third option for the <i>source</i> template parameter is, "A character array that after array-to-pointer decay results in a pointer to a NTCTS." NTCTS is defined in 4.12 [fs.def.ntcts] as "a	Reword to say, "A character array that is a NTCTS."	Ed / Accepted with modifications.

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

MB/ NC ¹	Line numb er	Clause/ Subclause	¶	Type	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the secretariat
					sequence of values of a given encoded character type terminated by that type's null character." Given that definition and the fact that array-to-pointer decay results in a pointer to the first element of the array, there does not appear to be a way for a character string to satisfy this requirement, since a single character cannot be a NTCTS.		
GB 7	Page 16	8.4.1		Te	Incorrect postcondition for copy/move constructor The postconditions for the copy/move constructor for path are shown as "empty()". This appears to have been incorrectly copied from the default ctor.	Remove the 'postconditions' clause from the copy/move ctor.	14 / Accepted.
GB 8	Page 17	8.4.1		Ge	No specification for characters with no representation. The example at the end of 8.4.1 refers to "for other native narrow encodings some characters may have no representation" — what happens in such cases?	Add some definition of the behaviour for characters with no representation.	15 / Accepted.
US 14		8.4.1	exa mpl e	ed	The last line in the code of the example is missing a right parenthesis.	Add a right parenthesis before the semicolon.	Ed / Accepted.
CH 10		8.4.1 [path.const ruct]		te	Postcondition for copy and move constructors is wrong.	Remove wrong postcondition.	See 14 / Accepted.
CH 11		8.4.3 [path.appen d]		te	Is the added separator redundant in p1 /= p2, where p1 is empty? I.e. does the result start with a separator?	Specify what behaviour is required.	16 / Accepted with modifications.
GB 10	Page 21	8.4.5		Ed	Use of "concatined"	In the Effects for replace_extension() change to concatenated in the phrase: "replacement is concatenated to the stored path".	Ed / Accepted.
GB 9	Page 20	8.4.5		Ed	Unusual form of post-condition. The phrasing of the postcondition for clear() "this->empty() is true" differs from other similar postconditions throughout the ISO C++ standard and this TS.	Postcondition: empty()	Ed / Accepted.
CH 12		8.4.5 [path.modi fiers]		te	As we have move semantics, member swap functions shouldn't be necessary anymore.	Remove swap().	17 / Rejected. No consensus for change.
US 15		8.4.9		ed	The <i>returns</i> descriptions for stem() and extension() both contain the phrase, "...does not consist solely of one or to two periods." The word "to" seems extraneous.	Omit "to" in both descriptions.	Ed / Accepted.

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

MB/ NC ¹	Line numb er	Clause/ Subclause	¶	Type	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the secretariat
US 16		8.4.9		ed	The <i>returns</i> description for <code>stem()</code> begins, "if <code>filename()</code> contains a character but..." Comparison with the corresponding text in the <code>extension()</code> entry suggests that this should apply when the name contains a period, not when it contains any character.	Replace the phrase with, "if <code>filename()</code> contains a period but..."	Ed / Accepted.
FI 7		8.4.10 path query [path.quer y]		te	<code>is_absolute</code> says: "Returns: true if the elements of <code>root_path()</code> uniquely identify a file system location, else false." The "uniquely identify a location" seems confusing in presence of symlinks.	Clarify the returns clause so that there's no confusion about symlinks and 'location'.	18 / Accepted with modifications.
FI 8		8.6.1 path inserter and extractor [path.io]		te	"[Note: Pathnames containing spaces require special handling by the user to avoid truncation when read by the extractor. --end note]" sounds like a quoted manipulator as specified in the C++14 draft in [quoted.manip] would be useful.	Consider using quoted manipulators for stream insertion and extraction.	19 / Accepted.
GB 11	Page 32	10.2		Ed	Confusing section titles for <code>copy_options</code> - use of "copy_file effects for", "copy effect for" and "copy effects"	Use "copy effects for" consistently	Ed / Accepted.
GB 13	Page 38	12.2		Te	Incorrect variable name in postcondition - the postcondition on <code>path()</code> for <code>replace_filename()</code> is defined as <code>path().parent_path() / s</code>	Define the postcondition on <code>path()</code> as <code>path().parent_path() / p</code>	Ed / Accepted with modifications.
GB 12	Page 38	12.3		Ge	Since <code>operator==</code> for <code>directory_entry</code> does not check status, it would be worth highlighting that <code>operator==</code> only checks that the paths match.	Add [Note: does not include status values - end note]	21 / Accepted, but then the note was struck by issue 53.
CH 13		14.1 [class.rec. dir.itr.mem bers]		te	The behaviour of <code>increment</code> is underspecified: What happens if the implementation detects an endless loop (e.g. caused by links)? What happens with automounting and possible race conditions? More information on this can be found at < http://man7.org/linux/manpages/man3/fts.3.html >.	Specify the required behaviour in these cases.	22 / Portions accepted with modifications. Portions rejected because they were already covered by front matter.
CH 14		15		te	Since <code>create_symlink()</code> , <code>create_hardlink()</code> , and <code>create_directory()</code> exist, there's no reason not to have a <code>create_regular_file()</code> function.	Consider adding a function <code>create_regular_file()</code> with the behaviour of the POSIX <code>touch</code> command.	23 / Rejected. No consensus for change at this time.
GB 14	Page 46	15.3		Te	Incorrect effects clause for path copy - the effect clause for copy [fs.op.copy] includes "equivalent(f, t)" — there is no <code>equivalent()</code> function defined for variables of this type (<code>file_status</code>)	Replace with "equivalent(from, to)"	24 / Accepted.

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

MB/ NC ¹	Line number	Clause/ Subclause	¶	Type	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the secretariat
CH 15		15.4 [fs.op.copy _file]		te	Even if to and from are different paths, they may be equivalent.	Specify what happens if (<code>options & copy_options::overwrite_existing</code>) but from and to resolve to the same file.	25 / Accepted with modifications.
CH 16		15.13 [fs.op.equi valent]		te	Equivalence is a volatile property.	Consider adding a note that equivalence cannot be determined race-free.	26 / Rejected. No consensus for change. Section 2.1 description of races is sufficient.
FI 9		15.15 Hard link count [fs.op.hard _lk_ct]		te	"The signature with argument <code>ec</code> returns <code>static_cast<uintmax_t>(-1)</code> if an error occurs.", one would expect that BOTH signatures return that if an error occurs?	Clarify the Returns clause, and apply the same for every function that returns an <code>uintmax_t</code> where applicable.	27 / Accepted with modifications.
GB 15	Page 56	15.25		Te	The constraint on <code>last_write_time</code> is too weak: It is noted that the postcondition of <code>last_write_time(p) == new_time</code> is not specified since it might not hold for file systems with coarse time granularity. However, might it be possible to have a postcondition that <code>last_write_time(p) <= new_time</code> ?	Add postcondition: <code>last_write_time(p) <= new_time</code>	28 / Rejected. No consensus for change.
GB 16	Page 57	15.27		Te	Unclear semantics of <code>read_symlink</code> on error: 15.27 [fs.op.read_symlink] has: Returns: If <code>p</code> resolves to a symbolic link, a path object containing the contents of that symbolic link. Otherwise <code>path()</code> . and also [Note: It is an error if <code>p</code> does not resolve to a symbolic link. -- end note] I do not believe <code>path()</code> can be a valid return for the overload not taking <code>error_code</code> .	Strike "Otherwise <code>path()</code> ."	29 / Accepted.
CH 17		15.28 [fs.op.rem ove]		te	The specification can be read to require the existence test. As this introduces a race, the existence test must not happen.	Change to: "Effects: <code>p</code> is removed as if by POSIX <code>remove()</code> ."	30 / Rejected. No consensus for change.
CH 18		15.30 [fs.op.rena me]		te	POSIX guarantees some kind of atomicity for <code>rename()</code> .	Clarify that POSIX' <code>rename()</code> guarantee "If the <code>rename()</code> function fails for any reason other than [EIO], any file named by <code>new</code> shall be unaffected." holds for C++ as well.	31 / Rejected. No consensus for change.
FI 10		15.36 System complete [fs.op.syst em_compl ete]			"[Example: For POSIX based operating systems, <code>system_complete(p)</code> has the same semantics as <code>complete(p, current_path())</code> ." What is this 'complete' that is referred here?	Clarify the example.	32 / Accepted.

1 **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

2 **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial

MB/ NC ¹	Line numb er	Clause/ Subclause	¶	Type	Comments	Proposed change	Observations of the secretariat
CH 19		15.38 [fs.op.unique_path]		te	unique_path() is a security vulnerability. As the Linux manual page for the similar function tmpnam() writes in the "BUGS" section: "Never use this function. Use mkstemp(3) or tmpfile(3) instead." mkstemp() and tmpfile() avoid the inherent race condition of unique_path() by returning an open file descriptor or FILE *.	Remove this function. Consider providing a function create_unique_directory(). If it fits the scope of the proposed TS, consider providing functions create_unique_file() that returns ifstream, ofstream and iofstream.	33 / Accepted.

H:\cc51\Public\SC 22 Project\4900\CommentFiles\ISO_IEC PDTS 18822_ANSI.doc: Collation successful

H:\cc51\Public\SC 22 Project\4900\CommentFiles\ISO_IEC PDTS 18822_BSI.doc: Collation successful

H:\cc51\Public\SC 22 Project\4900\CommentFiles\ISO_IEC PDTS 18822_SFS.doc: Collation successful

H:\cc51\Public\SC 22 Project\4900\CommentFiles\ISO_IEC PDTS 18822_SNV.doc: Collation successful

Collation of files was successful. Number of collated files : 4

SELECTED (number of files): 4 .

FILES IN THIS GROUP(number of files): 4.

PASSED TEST (number of files): 4.

FAILED TEST (number of files): 0.

KEY:

US - United States

GB - Great Britain

FI - Finland

CH - Switzerland

¹ **MB** = Member body / **NC** = National Committee (enter the ISO 3166 two-letter country code, e.g. CN for China; comments from the ISO/CS editing unit are identified by **)

² **Type of comment:** **ge** = general **te** = technical **ed** = editorial