Doc. no.: X3J16/95-0078
WG21/N0678
Date: 14 March 1995
Project: Programming Language C++
Ref. doc: X3J16/94-0199
WG21/N0586
Reply to: Dag Brück
E-mail: dag@dynasim.se

Craig Franklin
Vice-President, Advanced Product Development
Green Hills Software, Inc.
510 Castillo Road
Santa Brabara, CA 93101

Rejection of proposals in document X3J16/91-0064

Proposals sent to X3J16 are discussed and their merits evaluated by working groups. Only after the approval of a working group is a proposal presented to the full committee, and finally voted on. Technical votes are in the form of motions to change the current version of the working paper; proposals that do not get the support by a working group are not voted on by the full committee.

Your proposals (X3J16 document 91-0064) were considered by the extensions working group at the June 1991 meeting. No member of the working group considered that the benefits of your proposals were significant compared the "cost" of adopting them. Consequently, we decided not to bring them to a vote in front of the full committee. Please note that the minutes (X3J16 document 91-0092) explain the causes for rejection.

We regret that we cannot give you the feedback you seem to expect, but I hope you will accept my claim that your proposal received fair and equal treatment.

Best regards,

Dag Brück
Member of X3J16's extensions working group