



ISO/IEC JTC1/SC22

Secretariat

NADA (SCC)

ISO/IEC JTC1/SC22



**N868**

**NOVEMBER 1990**

**TITLE :** Additional comments received on document N796 -  
Language Compatible Arithmetic Standard

**SOURCE :** Secretariat JTC1/SC22

**WORK ITEM :** JTC1.22.28

**STATUS :** New

**CROSS REFERENCE :** N851, 797, 796

**DOCUMENT TYPE :** Member Body comments

**ACTION :** For information to SC22 Member Bodies.  
This document will be submitted to WG11 for  
consideration.

---

Address reply to: ISO/IEC JTC1/SC22 Secretariat  
J.L. Côté, 140 O'Connor St., 10th Floor  
Ottawa, Ont., Canada K1A 0R5  
Telephone: (613)957-2496 Telex: 053-3336  
Fax: (613) 996-2690

Czechoslovak comments on document of ISO/IEC SC 22 N 797

1. We think it is important to clarify basic properties that are common for all programming languages. The draft could help to create standard languages in a similar manner. But we mean that it is not good to consider the draft as a separate supplement of standard language.
2. Arithmetic operations have unambiguous mathematical background and therefore they are not source of great misunderstanding. It could be very significant to elaborate similar documents dealing with other common properties of programming languages. The following specifications should be considered:
  1. assignment
  2. indexing
  3. parameter passing

These subjects are major sources of many misunderstandings and hardly detected errors.

3. Page 23 is missing.
4. We assume that definition of parameter denorm is contradictory with its use. Denorm=true means that unnormalized values exist (see page 12). IBM 370 format allows use of unnormalized values so we have denorm=true (it does not agree to the table on page 33). As far as we know IEEE standard 754 defines only normalized values so it is denorm=false (it contradicts to text on pages 33, 51).
5. We do not understand why conversion operations between floating points of different radices are omitted. Really, it is possible and more simple to define conversion operations
  1. between any two distinct integer types
  2. from any floating point type to any integer type
  3. from any arithmetic type to any floating point type.The definitions remain the same as they are on pages 18, 19. In fact, in third case conversion depends only on rounding and checking function of target type.