

## WG14 N2473

### Meeting notes

## C Floating Point Study Group Teleconference

2020-01-09

8 AM PST / 11 AM EST / 4 PM UTC

**Attendees:** Rajan, Jim, Mike, Ian, David H., Damian McGuckin (new attendee)

**New agenda items:**

None.

**Carry over action items:**

CFP: Put the tgmath redefinition as a proposal to the standard once we have a base document with TS Part 3 in it.

**Last meeting action items:**

Fred: Update erange proposal based on CFP 1437 and CFP teleconference discussion. - Unknown.

Jim: Submit [http://wiki.edg.com/pub/CFP/WebHome/C2x\\_proposal\\_-\\_NaN\\_and\\_infinity\\_macros\\_-\\_20191108.pdf](http://wiki.edg.com/pub/CFP/WebHome/C2x_proposal_-_NaN_and_infinity_macros_-_20191108.pdf) to WG14. - Done.

Rajan: Compile example code in [http://wiki.edg.com/pub/CFP/WebHome/C2x\\_proposal\\_-\\_why\\_no\\_wide\\_string\\_strfrom\\_functions\\_v2.pdf](http://wiki.edg.com/pub/CFP/WebHome/C2x_proposal_-_why_no_wide_string_strfrom_functions_v2.pdf). - Done.

Jim: Choose how to attach footnote in [http://wiki.edg.com/pub/CFP/WebHome/C2x\\_proposal\\_-\\_why\\_no\\_wide\\_string\\_strfrom\\_functions\\_v2.pdf](http://wiki.edg.com/pub/CFP/WebHome/C2x_proposal_-_why_no_wide_string_strfrom_functions_v2.pdf); mention the other approach in the problem description. - Done.

Jim: Draft a paper proposing changing the "cr" prefix for correctly rounded functions to "cr\_". Include with other suggested changes in response to Jens's naming paper. - Done.

David H: Draft words to recommend honoring properties (Ex. even/odd, periodicity) of math functions that would follow automatically from correct rounding. - Not done.

Jim: Find a place for words about math function properties. Coordinate with David H (previous AI). - Not done.

Comments:

Ian: Monotonicity as well and making correctly rounded be recommended practice.

David: We can say if it is correctly rounded, they get the properties anyways.

Jim: Draft a proposal to change the return words for powr and add the footnote (presented in the meeting) to justify powr. - Done.

**New action items:**

Jim: Look at seeing what would be involved in removing Annex J types that already have the same basic types.

Jim: Get a WG14 document number and submit [C2x\\_proposal\\_-\\_why\\_no\\_wide\\_string\\_strfrom\\_functions-20191230.pdf](#).

Jim: Get a WG14 document number and submit [C2x\\_proposal\\_-\\_names\\_and\\_locations-20200105.pdf](#).

Jim: Ensure natural log is written as log in the standard (regarding [C2x\\_proposal\\_-\\_powr\\_justification\\_wording-20200104.pdf](#)).

Jim: Ensure thin spaces are put where needed in [C2x\\_proposal\\_-\\_powr\\_justification\\_wording-20200104.pdf](#). Ex. Between the e and y in the "e^y log x" in three places.

Jim: Update links on the C FP wiki to point to the latest drafts of the TS parts and look into having a section for working drafts.

Rajan: Ensure casting to `_Float16` won't cause a problem with the using INFINITY wherever a constant expression can be used change we proposed.

**Next Meeting(s):**

Wednesday, February 19th, 2020, 11:00 EST, 8:00 PST, 4PM UTC

Same teleconference number.

Please notify the group if this time slot does not work.

Jim away Jan. 14-28th.

**Discussion:**

754 revision:

Done!

CFP 1443 has the paper/article David wrote.

Drop this agenda item.

C++ Liaison:

Nothing.

C2X integration (<http://www.open-std.org/jtc1/sc22/wg14/www/docs/n2433.pdf>):

Draft with TS 1, 2, and 4a (Latest C2X draft: <http://www.open-std.org/jtc1/sc22/wg14/www/docs/n2454.pdf>, also as link on CFP wiki)

Part 3 - Was in a branch as work in progress prior to Jens stepping down as editor. As Annex J.

Part 4b - Looking as an updated TS.

Part 5a,b,c,d

C2X target: 2023

Jim: Idea is to propose IEEE 2019 binding in the next C meeting. We can discuss next CFP meeting.

Jim: Question: Should we remove value redundant types from Annex J? Ex. `_Float32` being the same as `float`, `_Float64` being the same as `double`, `_Float32x` being the same as `double` or `long double`. We added this as consistency, but the initial goal was just to provide nomenclature for the types.

\*AI\*: Jim: Look at seeing what would be involved in removing Annex J types that already have the same basic types.

**Action item details:**

Fred: Update erange proposal based on CFP 1437 and CFP teleconference discussion.

Jim: Submit [http://wiki.edg.com/pub/CFP/WebHome/C2x\\_proposal\\_-\\_NaN\\_and\\_infinity\\_macros\\_-\\_20191108.pdf](http://wiki.edg.com/pub/CFP/WebHome/C2x_proposal_-_NaN_and_infinity_macros_-_20191108.pdf) to WG14.

(<http://wiki.edg.com/pub/CFP/WebHome/n2469.pdf>)

Looks good.

Rajan: Compile example code in [http://wiki.edg.com/pub/CFP/WebHome/C2x\\_proposal\\_-\\_why\\_no\\_wide\\_string\\_strfrom\\_functions\\_v2.pdf](http://wiki.edg.com/pub/CFP/WebHome/C2x_proposal_-_why_no_wide_string_strfrom_functions_v2.pdf). (See Rajan's CFP 1441)

Looks good.

Jim: Choose how to attach footnote in [http://wiki.edg.com/pub/CFP/WebHome/C2x\\_proposal\\_-\\_why\\_no\\_wide\\_string\\_strfrom\\_functions\\_v2.pdf](http://wiki.edg.com/pub/CFP/WebHome/C2x_proposal_-_why_no_wide_string_strfrom_functions_v2.pdf); mention the other approach in the problem description.

[http://wiki.edg.com/pub/CFP/WebHome/C2x\\_proposal\\_-\\_why\\_no\\_wide\\_string\\_strfrom\\_functions-20191230.pdf](http://wiki.edg.com/pub/CFP/WebHome/C2x_proposal_-_why_no_wide_string_strfrom_functions-20191230.pdf)

Looks good.

\*AI\*: Jim: Get a WG14 document number and submit `C2x_proposal_ -`

[\\_why\\_no\\_wide\\_string\\_strfrom\\_functions- 20191230.pdf](#).

Jim: Draft a paper proposing changing the "cr" prefix for correctly rounded functions to "cr\_". Include with other suggested changes in response to Jens's naming paper. [http://wiki.edg.com/pub/CFP/WebHome/C2x\\_proposal\\_-\\_names\\_and\\_locations-20200105.pdf](http://wiki.edg.com/pub/CFP/WebHome/C2x_proposal_-_names_and_locations-20200105.pdf)

cr\_ was never proposed by any paper.

Moving the SNAN macros does follow the suggestion of renaming, but also moves it which was not previously proposed.

\*AI\*: Jim: Get a WG14 document number and submit C2x\_proposal\_-\_names\_and\_locations-20200105.pdf.

David H: Draft words to recommend honoring properties of math functions that would follow automatically from correct rounding.

Still to do.

Jim: Find a place for words about math function properties. Coordinate with David H (previous AI).

Suggest adding a title to the table in F.3#23.

David: Functions that IEC 60559 recommends be correctly rounded

David: The first table title should also be changed to distinguish this table. Ex. Binding of operations required by IEC 60559; Binding of functions or operations recommended by IEC 60559.

Jim: Or "Bindings of operations required by IEC 60559"; "Bindings of operations recommended by IEC 60559" See C FP 1453

Suggest adding a second recommended practice to F.10.

Jim: Draft a proposal to change the return words for powr and add the footnote (presented in the meeting) to justify powr. [http://wiki.edg.com/pub/CFP/WebHome/C2x\\_proposal\\_-\\_powr\\_justification\\_wording-20200104.pdf](http://wiki.edg.com/pub/CFP/WebHome/C2x_proposal_-_powr_justification_wording-20200104.pdf)

\*AI\*: Jim: Ensure natural log is written as log in the standard (regarding C2x\_proposal\_-\_powr\_justification\_wording-20200104.pdf).

\*AI\*: Jim: Ensure thin spaces are put where needed in C2x\_proposal\_-\_powr\_justification\_wording-20200104.pdf. Ex. Between the e and y in the "e^y log x" in three places.

#### **Other issues:**

WG14 editor change

Jim and Rajan meeting with David Keaton regarding finishing off the work.

Is "Floating-point extensions for C" still an appropriate description of CFP work? See Mike's email CFP 1445.

Jim: "IEC 60559 Floating point support in C"?

Sounds good.

Jim: Also remove reference to 2008 on the website.

Jim: Update links to the published TS's on the website.

Rajan: Link published for all, and have working drafts for any ones with changes or that are not in C2X.

\*AI\*: Jim: Update links on the C FP wiki to point to the latest drafts of the TS parts and look into having a section for working drafts.

Issue for \_Float16: INFINITY has type float so widens operations with \_Float16 operands.

Jim: Ideally NAN and INFINITY macros would be \_Float16 if it was supported. We could add a comment suggesting putting a cast to \_Float16 in front of INFINITY if you really wanted operations to stay in \_Float16 type.

Ian: Doesn't work for SNAN's.

Jim: Not an issue since we have type'd versions of those.

May be an issue for constant expressions.

\*AI\*: Rajan: Ensure casting to `_Float16` won't cause a problem with the using INFINITY wherever a constant expression can be used change we proposed.